

<u>ISSUE</u>	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
Regulation O and Sections 23A and 23B	Allegiant United Holdings, LLC, Irvine, California; Nano Financial Holdings, Inc., Irvine, California; and Nano Banc, Irvine, California	<ul> <li>For purposes of Regulation O, Nano Bank failed to:</li> <li>Maintain adequate documentation of covered financial transactions;</li> <li>Ensure adequate disclosure of the financial condition of the parties involved in any covered financial transaction; and</li> <li>Analyze whether a covered financial transaction is on market terms.</li> <li>For purposes of Sections 23A and 23B, Nano Bank failed to take all necessary actions to ensure that the Bank complies with sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act (12 U.S.C. §§ 371c and 371c-1) and Regulation W of the Board of Governors (12 C.F.R. Part 223) in all transactions between the Bank and its affiliates, including but not limited to, Nano Financial.</li> </ul>	C&D	FRB	01.18.22	Requires qualified directors and management, a written plan to enhance compliance with Regulation O, and a written policy acceptable to the Reserve Bank regarding transactions between the Companies and the Bank, including, but not limited to, the allocation, documentation, and approval of expenses to ensure compliance with sections 23A and 23B and Regulation W.



ISSUE	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	AGENCY	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
Flood	Madison County Community Bank, Madison, FL	<ul> <li>Violations include:</li> <li>Failing to obtain adequate flood insurance coverage at or before loan origination, increase, renewal, or extension in 16 instances (9 violations in the 24 traditional loans reviewed and 7 violations in the 49 cross-collateralized loans reviewed).</li> <li>Failing to provide the required notice of force-placed flood insurance to the borrower in 21 instances (2 violations in the 24 traditional loans reviewed).</li> <li>Failing to maintain an adequate amount of forced-place flood insurance in 1 instance in the 24 traditional loans reviewed.</li> <li>Violating section 339.9(a) by failing to provide a Notice of Special Flood Hazards and Availability of Federal Disaster Relief Assistance timely in 6 instances (3 violations in the 24 traditional loans reviewed and 3 violations in the 49 cross-collateralized loans reviewed).</li> </ul>	СМР	FDIC	1.6.22	\$9,750.00



<u>ISSUE</u>	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
<u>FDCPA</u>	Various Individuals and Companies in NY and CO	The CFPB sued United Debt Holding (UDH), JTM Capital Management (JTM), United Holding Group (UHG), and their owners, Craig Manseth, Jacob Adamo, and Darren Turco, for illegal debt-collection practices. The CFPB alleges that the defendants placed consumer debt with, or sold consumer debt to, collection companies that used unlawful and deceptive collection tactics. The defendants knew, or should have known, the collection companies made false threats and false statements to consumers.	Damages and CMP	CFPB	1.10.22	Not yet determined.
<u>Flood</u>	Settlers Bank, De Forest, WI	<ul> <li>Violations include:</li> <li>Section 339.3(a), by failing to obtain adequate flood insurance for two (2) loans; and</li> <li>Section 339.9(c), by failing to provide to borrowers a Notice of Special Flood Hazard and Availability of Federal Disaster Relief Assistance within a reasonable time before the completion of the transaction on four (4) loans.</li> </ul>	СМР	FDIC	1.10.22	\$3,000.00
<u>Flood</u>	The Grant County Bank, Petersburg, WV	Violations include § 339.5(a), by failing to escrow insurance premiums on refinanced loans with a balloon payment in 6 instances of total 17 loans reviewed, including 7 balloon loans.	СМР	FDIC	1.20.22	\$4,500.00



<u>ISSUE</u>	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
Flood	Blue Grass Savings Bank, Blue Grass, IA	<ul> <li>The Bank:</li> <li>Made, increased, extended, or renewed loans secured by a building or mobile home located or to be located in a special flood hazard area without: <ul> <li>requiring that the collateral be covered by flood insurance;</li> <li>providing timely notice to the borrower and/or the servicer as to whether flood insurance was available for the collateral; and/or</li> </ul> </li> <li>Failed to comply with proper procedures for force-placing flood insurance in instances where the collateral was not covered by flood insurance at some time during the term of the loan.</li> </ul>	СМР	FDIC	1.26.22	\$16,250.00
<u>Flood</u>	Home Bank and Trust Company, Eureka, KS	The Bank violated 12 C.F.R. Part 339, because the Bank made, increased, extended, renewed, sold, or transferred a loan secured by a building or mobile home located or to be located in a special flood hazard area without properly notifying the Administrator of FEMA or their designee.	СМР	FDIC	2.3.22	\$2,250.00
<u>Flood</u>	First Midwest Bank, Chicago, Illinois	The Bank engaged a pattern or practice of violations of Regulation H, 12 C.F.R. § 208.25.	СМР	FRB	2.8.22	\$253,500.00



<u>ISSUE</u>	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
Flood	Ultima Bank Minnesota, Winger, MN	<ul> <li>The Bank violated 12 C.F.R. Part 339, because the Bank:</li> <li>Made, increased, extended, or renewed loans secured by a building or mobile home located or to be located in a special flood hazard area without: <ul> <li>Requiring that the collateral be covered by flood insurance;</li> <li>Providing timely notice to the borrower and/or the servicer as to whether flood insurance was available for the collateral; and/or</li> </ul> </li> <li>Failed to comply with proper procedures for force-placing flood insurance in instances where the collateral was not covered by flood insurance at some time during the term of the loan.</li> </ul>	СМР	FDIC	2.16.22	\$3,000.00
<u>Flood</u>	Citizens Bank Minnesota, New Ulm, MN	The Bank violated 12 C.F.R. Part 339, because the Bank made, increased, extended, or renewed loans secured by a building or mobile home located or to be located in a special flood hazard area without requiring that the collateral be covered by flood insurance.	СМР	FDIC	2.16.22	\$6,000.00



<u>ISSUE</u>	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
<u>UDAP</u>	Magnolia Bank, Incorporated, Magnolia, KY	The Bank violated Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a)(1), by deceptively advertising interest rates and fees for residential mortgage loans as the lowest on the market, with a promise of a "best rate guarantee," comparative shopping for such rates, and lower rates due to the bank's fee structure.	СМР	FDIC	3.10.22	\$425,000
UDAAP	Edfinancial Services, LLC. Knoxville, Tennessee	Respondent engaged in deceptive acts and practices in violation of §§ 1031 and 1036 of the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010	СМР	CFPB	3.30.22	\$1,000,000.00



<u>ISSUE</u>	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	DATE	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
Flood	Sherwood Community Bank, Creighton, MO.	<ul> <li>The Bank has engaged in a pattern or practice of committing violations of the FDPA and the notice requirements under section 1364 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, 42</li> <li>U.S.C. § 4104a, and Part 339 of the FDIC's Rules and Regulations, 12 C.F.R. Part 339, because the Bank:</li> <li>a) made, increased, extended, or renewed loans secured by a building or mobile home located or to be located in a special flood hazard area without requiring that the collateral be covered by flood insurance; and</li> <li>b) made, increased, extended, or renewed a loan secured by a building or mobile home located or to be located in a special flood hazard area without providing timely notice to the borrower and/or the servicer as to whether flood insurance was available for the collateral.</li> </ul>	CMP	FDIC	4.13.22	\$2,250
<u>Compliance</u> <u>Management</u>	American Bank of Oklahoma, Collinsville, OK	<ul> <li>The Bank has entered into a Consent Order that requires:</li> <li>1. The development and implementation of a compliance management system (CMS);</li> <li>2. Requires the CMS be reviewed and approved annually by the Bank's Board;</li> </ul>	Cease and Desist Order	FDIC	4.25.22	\$0.00



<u>ISSUE</u>	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
<u>Flood</u>	First State Bank, Paint Rock, TX	<ul> <li>The Bank has engaged in a pattern or practice of committing:</li> <li>three (3) violations of section 102(b)(1) of the FDPA, 42 U.S.C. § 2 4012a(b)(1), section 339.3(a) of the FDIC Rules and Regulations, 12 C.F.R. § 339.3(a), for failure to obtain flood insurance or obtain an adequate amount of insurance coverage, at or before loan origination, for all structures in a flood zone, including multiple structures; and</li> <li>one (1) violation of Section 102(e) of the FDPA, 42 U.S.C. § 4012a(e), and section 339.7(a) of the FDIC Rules and Regulations, 12 C.F.R. §339.7(a), for failure to force-place flood insurance, after loan origination, when the insurance on buildings securing the loan, are either not covered or covered in an amount less than the amount required pursuant to § 339.3 of the FDIC Rules and Regulations, 12 C.F.R. § 339.3.</li> </ul>	CMP	FDIC	5.2.22	\$2,000



<u>ISSUE</u>	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
UDAAP	Bank of America, N.A.,, Charlotte, NC	<ul> <li>The CFPB found that the Bank:</li> <li>Unfairly required consumers to waive its liability as to consumers' garnishment-related protections in its deposit agreement and misrepresented to consumers that they could not go to court to attempt to prevent wrongful garnishments.</li> <li>Failed to disclose to courts in states that restricted the garnishment of out-of-state accounts that the garnishment notice pertained to bank accounts located out-of-state;</li> <li>Froze accounts and sent funds to creditors even though prohibited by state law.</li> <li>Applied the wrong state's exemption laws and represented to consumers that their rights to have certain funds exempted from garnishment were governed by the law of the issuing state when in reality the consumer's own state law applies.</li> </ul>	СМР	CFPB	5.4.22	Pay a \$10,000,000 CMP and \$592,000 in refunds. The order also requires the Bank to review and reform its system for processing garnishments, to notify courts or other garnishment issuers when consumer accounts are out-of-state, and to cease using language in its consumer contracts that unlawfully limit consumers' rights to challenge garnishments.



<u>ISSUE</u>	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
Flood	Cache Valley Bank, Logan, UT	The Bank has engaged in a pattern or practice of committing violations of the FDPA and Part 339 of the FDIC's Rules and Regulations, 12 C.F.R. Part 339, 12 C.F.R. § 339.9(a), by failing to notify the borrower whether or not flood insurance is available under the Act for the collateral securing the loan when making, increasing, extending, or renewing a loan secured by a building or a mobile home located or to be located in a special flood hazard area.	СМР	FDIC	5.18.22	\$8,000
Flood	Freedom Bank, Columbia Falls, MT	<ul> <li>The Bank has engaged in a pattern or practice of committing violations of the FDPA and Part 339 of the FDIC's Rules and Regulations, 12</li> <li>C.F.R. Part 339, as follows: <ol> <li>12 C.F.R. § 339.3(a), by making, increasing, extending, or renewing designated loans when the building or mobile home or any personal property securing the loan was not covered by flood insurance for the term of the loan in an amount equal to the lesser of the outstanding principal balance of the designated loan or the maximum limit of coverage available for the particular type of loan under the Act;</li> <li>12 C.F.R. § 339.7(a), by failing to notify the borrower that the borrower's expense, in an amount at least equal to the amount required under §339.3, for</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	СМР	FDIC	5.24.22	\$8,250



<u>ISSUE</u>	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	AGENCY	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/
						CORRECTIVE ACTION
		the remaining term of the loan, upon				
		determining that the building or mobile				
		home or any personal property securing				
		the designated loan is not covered by				
		flood insurance or is covered by flood				
		insurance in an amount less than the				
		amount required under §339.3;				
		(3) 12 C.F.R. § 339.7(a), by failing to purchase				
		flood insurance on the borrower's behalf				
		upon the borrower's failure to obtain				
		flood insurance within 45 days after				
		notification;				
		(4) 12 C.F.R. § 339.9(a), by failing to notify the				
		borrower whether or not flood insurance				
		is available under the Act for the collateral				
		securing the loan when making,				
		increasing, extending, or renewing a loan				
		secured by a building or a mobile home				
		located or to be located in a special flood				
		hazard area; and				
		12 C.F.R. § 339.9(c), by failing to timely notify				
		the borrower whether or not flood insurance				
		is available under the Act for the collateral				
		securing the loan when making, increasing,				
		extending, or renewing a loan secured by a				
		building or a mobile home located or to be				
		located in a special flood hazard area.				



<u>ISSUE</u>	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
Flood	North American Banking Company, Roseville, Minnesota	The Bank engaged in a pattern or practice of violations of Regulation H, 12 C.F.R. § 208.25, which implements the requirements of the National flood Insurance Act	СМР	FRB	6.15.22	\$13,950
Flood	Evolve Bank & Trust, West Memphis, AR	The Bank engaged in a pattern or practice of violations of Regulation H, 12 C.F.R. § 208.25, which implements the requirements of the National flood Insurance Act	СМР	FRB	6.15.22	\$4,950
Flood	MRV Banks, Sainte Genevieve, MO	The Bank has engaged in a pattern or practice of committing violations of the FDPA and the notice requirements under section 1364 of 2 the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, 42 U.S.C. § 4104a, and Part 339 of the FDIC's Rules and Regulations, 12 C.F.R. Part 339, because the Bank made, increased, extended or renewed a loan secured by a building or mobile home located or to be located in a special flood hazard area without providing timely notice to the borrower and/or the servicer as to whether flood insurance was available for the collateral.	СМР	FDIC	6.27.22	\$7,000



ISSUE	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	AGENCY	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
Flood	Mount Vernon Bank and Trust Company, Mount Vernon, IA	<ul> <li>The Bank has engaged in a pattern or practice of committing violations of the FDPA and the notice requirements under section 1364 of 2 the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, 42 U.S.C. § 4104a, and Part 339 of the FDIC's Rules and Regulations, 12 C.F.R. Part 339, because the Bank:</li> <li>a) made, increased, extended, or renewed loans secured by a building or mobile home located or to be located in a special flood hazard area without requiring that the collateral be covered by flood insurance;</li> <li>b) made, increased, extended or renewed loans secured by a building or mobile home located or to be located in a special flood hazard area without providing timely notice to the borrower as to whether flood insurance was available for the collateral; and</li> <li>c) c) failed to comply with proper procedures for force-placing flood insurance at some time during the term of the loan.</li> </ul>	СМР	FDIC	7.1.22	\$2,500
<u>Flood</u>	Easthampton Savings Bank, Easthampton, MA	The Bank engaged in a pattern or practice of violations of Regulation H, 12 C.F.R. § 208.25, which implements the requirements of the National flood insurance Act	СМР	FRB	7/7/22	\$17,000



<u>ISSUE</u>	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
<u>Flood</u>	Security State Bank, Farwell, TX	The Bank has engaged in a pattern or practice of committing:	СМР	FDIC	7.13.22	\$6,000
		<ul> <li>(a) six (6) violations of section 102(b)(1) of the FDPA, 42 U.S.C. § 2 4012a(b)(1),</li> </ul>				
		section 339.3(a) of the FDIC Rules and Regulations, 12 C.F.R. § 339.3(a), for				
		failure to obtain flood insurance or obtain an adequate amount of insurance				
		coverage, at or before loan origination, for all structures in a flood zone, including				
		multiple structures; and (b) (b) four (4) violations of section 1364(a)(1) of the National Flood Insurance Act of				
		1968, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 4014a(a)(1), and section 339.9(c) of the				
		FDIC Rules and Regulations, 12 C.F.R. § 339.9(c), for failure to timely provide a Notice of Special Flood Hazards and				
		Availability of Federal Disaster Relief Assistance to the borrower.				



ISSUE	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	AGENCY	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
Flood	PNC Bank, Wilmington, DE	As part of its Flood Act compliance program, the Bank utilizes a third-party to service its Designated Loans within its residential lending unit to notify the borrowers and force place flood insurance when the flood insurance fails to appropriately cover the Collateral The Bank's policies and procedures allowed its third-party servicer to extend the 45-day period after notification to the borrower resulting in the untimely force placement of flood insurance on Designated Loans.	СМР	OCC	7.18.22	\$2,614,456
FCRA and UDAAP	Hyundai Capital America, Irvine, CA	<ul> <li>Hyundai repeatedly provided inaccurate information to nationwide credit reporting companies and failed to take proper measures to address inaccurate information once it was identified between 2016 and 2020. Hyundai used manual and outdated systems, processes, and procedures to furnish credit reporting information—which led to widespread inaccuracies—and resulted in negative inaccurate information being placed on consumers' credit reports through no fault of their own. In total, the CFPB found that Hyundai furnished inaccurate information in more than 8.7 million instances on more than 2.2 million consumer accounts.</li> <li>Hyundai repeatedly did not take steps to promptly update and correct information it furnished to credit reporting companies that it determined was not complete or</li> </ul>	СМР	CFPB	7.26.22	Hyundai is required to take steps to prevent future violations and to pay \$13.2 million in redress to affected consumers who were inaccurately reported as delinquent and a \$6 million civil money penalty.



ISSUE INSTIT		/IOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
	<ul> <li>inaccur</li> <li>FCRA recredit r delinqui accoursi collection of delini were m</li> <li>Hyunda overrood employi dispute provide reportini the dat and cor</li> <li>FCRA rec any not compari that is ti failed to theft and respondicioned</li> </ul>	e, and continued to furnish this ate and incomplete information. equires data furnishers to provide eporting companies the date of ency for when a delinquent t is being charged off or placed for ons. Hyundai failed to report a date quency for many consumers who ore than 90 days delinquent. i's furnishing system often le manual corrections made by ees in responding to consumer s. The furnishing system would monthly updates to credit ng companies that reintroduced a error after it had been disputed rected. equires furnishers to respond to ifications from credit reporting nies about furnished information he result of identity theft. Hyundai o establish reasonable identity nd related blocking procedures to d to identity theft notifications, and ed to report such information that have been blocked on a ner's report.				



<u>ISSUE</u>	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
		Regulation V requires furnishers to maintain written policies and procedures regarding the accuracy and integrity of the information furnished. Hyundai failed to review and update its credit reporting furnishing policies and procedures from 2010 to 2017. It was not until 2021 that the company finally updated some of its credit reporting policies and procedures.				
Fair Housing, Act, Equal Credit Opportunity Act, and UDAAP	Trident Mortgage Company, LP, Delaware	<ul> <li>Trident redlined majority-minority</li> <li>neighborhoods through its marketing, sales,</li> <li>and hiring actions. Specifically, Trident's</li> <li>actions discouraged prospective applicants</li> <li>from applying for mortgage and refinance</li> <li>loans in the greater Philadelphia area's</li> <li>majority-minority neighborhoods.</li> <li>This case was developed under the DOJ's</li> <li>Combatting Redlining Initiative</li> <li>The ultimate holding company of Trident is</li> <li>Berkshire Hathaway, Inc.</li> <li>Trident's loan officers, assistants, and</li> <li>other employees received and distributed</li> <li>e-mails containing racial slurs and racist</li> <li>content. In addition to using racist tropes</li> <li>and terms, communications sent on work</li> <li>e-mails included pejorative content</li> <li>specifically related to real estate</li> <li>properties' locations and appraisals. The</li> <li>racist content also targeted the people</li> <li>living in majority-minority neighborhoods.</li> </ul>	СМР	CFPB and DOJ	7.27.22	<ul> <li>Pay \$18.4 million into a loan subsidy program.</li> <li>Pay a \$4 million fine to the CFPB, which will be used for victim relief</li> <li>Pay an additional \$2 million to fund advertising to generate applications in redlined areas as well as to take other steps to serve the credit needs of majority-minority neighborhoods in the Philadelphia MSA.</li> <li>In addition to the CFPB and DOJ's enforcement action, the states of Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware have entered into concurrent agreements</li> </ul>



ISSUE INSTIT		OLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
	different the locati Trident's offices we neighborh were in n groups re populatio Philadelpl areas tha area were neighborh • Between conducte campaigr the camp models at to be whi campaigr applicant neighborh targeted majority open hou overwhel white nei advertise listings ov	loan officers worked out of 53 offices in the Philadelphia MSA, ons of which were displayed on website. Fifty-one of those ere in majority-white noods. The other two offices eighborhoods with minority presenting roughly 50% of the n. All 23 offices within the hia and Camden metropolitan t were within Trident's lending e in majority-white noods. 2015 and May 2018, Trident d 15 direct mail marketing hs. All the individuals pictured in aigns' marketing materials—both nd Trident employees—appeared te. These direct mail marketing hs would have discouraged s from majority-minority noods. Additionally, Trident its marketing materials to white neighborhoods. Trident's se flyers, for instance, were mingly concentrated in majority- ghborhoods, and its online ments appeared for home verwhelmingly located in white neighborhoods.				with Trident and its real estate services affiliate, Fox & Roach LP.



ISSUE	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
UDAAP, Truth In Lending	U.S. Bank National Association, Minneapolis, MN	<ul> <li>U.S. Bank illegally accessed its customers' credit reports and opened checking and savings accounts, credit cards, and lines of credit without customers' permission. U.S. Bank pressured and incentivized its employees to sell multiple products and services to its customers, including imposing sales goals as part of their employees' job requirements. The Bank:</li> <li>Exploited personal data without authorization. The Fair Credit Reporting Act, among other things, defines the permissible uses of credit reports, and users of credit reports may only request them if they have a permissible purpose. The Bank used customers' credit reports without a permissible purpose, and without its customers' permission, to facilitate opening unauthorized credit cards and lines of credit.</li> <li>Opened accounts without consumer permission. The Bank opened deposit accounts, credit cards, and lines of credit without permission. This included opening Reserve and Premier lines of credit, which carry high interest rates and expensive fees. This behavior violated the Consumer Financial Protection Act and the Truth in Lending Act.</li> </ul>	CMP	CFPB	7.28.22	\$37,500,000, plus the Bank must develop a plan to remediate harmed consumers by returning all unlawfully charged fees and costs, plus interest.



<u>ISSUE</u>	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
		<ul> <li>Failed to provide legally required consumer disclosures. The Truth in Savings Act requires banks to provide certain disclosures when opening new deposit accounts. U.S. Bank violated the law when its employees opened consumer deposit accounts without permission and, in the process of doing so, failed to provide the required disclosures.</li> </ul>				
<u>Regulation O</u>	EagleBank, Bethesda, MD	The Bank was fined for violation of the Board's insider lending regulation. The bank improperly extended credit to entities owned or controlled by its then-CEO and Chairman, Ronald D. Paul. The Board found that EagleBank had deficient internal controls over insider lending practices between 2015 and 2018, which allowed the bank to extend credit totaling nearly \$100 million to entities that Paul owned or controlled, including certain family trusts, without making appropriate disclosures to, or obtaining required approvals from, a majority of the bank's board of directors. These internal control deficiencies also extended to the bank's supervision of lending staff, who permitted Paul to participate in matters in which he had a conflict of interest. The Board also cited EagleBank for third-party risk management deficiencies over the same period that resulted in inadequate oversight	СМР	FRB	8/16/22	\$9.500,000



<u>ISSUE</u>	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	DATE	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
		of contracts between the bank and a local government official. In addition, the Board announced that it has permanently barred Paul from employment in the banking industry and assessed a \$90,000 fine against him for his central role in the bank's violations of law and unsafe and unsound practices. In conjunction with these actions by the Board, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission today announced its own settlement of actions against Paul and EagleBank's holding company, Eagle Bancorp, Inc. In total, the bank and holding company will pay approximately \$22.9 million and Paul will pay approximately \$521,000 to settle the agency actions.				
<u>Flood</u>	Customers Bank, Malvern, PA	The Bank engaged in a pattern or practice of violations of Regulation H, 12 C.F.R. § 208.25, which implements the requirements of the National flood Insurance Act.	СМР	FRB	9/22/22	\$41,500
<u>Regulation Z</u>	Sterling Bank and Trust, Southfield MI	<ul> <li>Between approximately mid-2011 and</li> <li>December 2019 the Bank: <ul> <li>(1) Offered the Advantage Loan Program</li> <li>("ALP"), a low-document mortgage loan</li> <li>program, which was the Bank's primary</li> <li>loan product during this period. The Bank</li> <li>originated numerous ALP loans that</li> <li>contained falsified applicant income and</li> <li>employment information and debt-to-</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	СМР	OCC	9.27.22	\$6,000,000



ISSUE	INSTITUTION	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	AGENCY	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
		income ratios and relied on falsified				CORRECTIVE ACTION
		supporting documents, such as				
		verification of employment documents,				
		letters of explanation, and gift letters. In				
		addition, loan documents failed to				
		disclose the use of third-party mortgage				
		brokers. Despite deficiencies within the				
		ALP, the Bank did not take appropriate				
		corrective action and continued to grow				
		the ALP.				
		(2) Falsified applicants' employment and				
		income information as well as other				
		supporting loan documents, in violation of				
		California Penal Code § 532f and New York				
		Penal Law Article 187.				
		(3) Failed to make a reasonable and good				
		faith determination of applicants' ability				
		to repay and to ensure that documents				
		used to verify applicants' employment,				
		income, and assets were obtained from				
		third parties, were reasonably reliable,				
		and that there were proper quality control				
		mechanisms to ensure the accuracy and				
		reliability of the Bank's loan documents, in				
		violation of 12 C.F.R. § 1026.43(c).				
		(4) Failed to properly disclose the				
		involvement of, or fees paid to, third-party				
		mortgage brokers on loan estimates and				
		closing disclosures, in violation of 12 C.F.R.				
		§§ 1026.19, 1026.37, and 1026.38.				



<u>ISSUE</u>	<u>INSTITUTION</u>	VIOLATIONS/FINDINGS	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PENALTY/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
		<ul> <li>(5) Failed to implement an adequate system of BSA/AML internal controls and failed to file Suspicious Activity Reports in a timely manner, in violation of 12 C.F.R. §§ 21.21(d)(1) and 163.180(d).</li> <li>(6) Made false representations about the ALP loans to and concealed material information regarding the ALP loans from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001.</li> </ul>				



LAW/REGULATION	FDIC	FRB	000	CFPB	HUD	DOJ	NCUA	TOTAL
FAIR LENDING		-		1			-	1
FLOOD	14	5	1					20
<b>MILITARY LENDING</b>								
TILA/RESPA			1	1**				2
UDAP/UDAAP	1			4**				4
OTHER	1(Comp. Man.)	2(Reg. O)		1(FDCPA) 1 (FCRA**)				4
TOTAL	16	7	2	6	0	0	0	31

\*Lending compliance enforcement actions against financial institutions and other companies (non-individuals) that might have an impact on financial institutions. Chart is intended to be an educational tool. Not guaranteed to be comprehensive. Current through September 2022.

\*\*The violation of this law/regulation was part of an enforcement action that contained violations of multiple laws/regulations. The violation of this particular law is notated in the chart, but is not counted as a separate enforcement action and, as a result, is not counted in the *Totals* of this chart in order to avoid duplicative results.